

New Advances in Computing TBR and Application to ARIES Power Plants

L. El-Guebaly

Fusion Technology Institute University of Wisconsin-Madison <u>http://fti.neep.wisc.edu/UWNeutronicsCenterOfExcellence</u>

> VLT Conference Call September 19, 2012



ARIES Designs (1988 – 2012)



calendar year



ARIES-ACT Design



R= 5.5 m; a= 1.375 m; A= 4; P_f~1800 MW; 16 TF magnets; 16 Toroidal modules; SiC/LiPb blanket. No blanket behind divertor (only LiPb manifolds for inboard blanket).

ARIES breeding requirements^{*}: calculated TBR = 1.05 with ⁶Li enrichment < 90%.

[•] L. El-Guebaly, A. Jaber and S. Malang, "State-of-the-Art 3-D Assessment of Elements Degrading the TBR of the ARIES DCLL Blanket," Fusion Science and Technology 61, #4 (May 2012) 321-331.



We Addressed Several Breeding-Related Questions that Puzzled Fusion Community for Decades

Breeding-related questions:

- How does blanket structure (first wall, side, and back walls, cooling channels, etc.) degrade TBR?
- Which change to blanket thickness and/or Li enrichment is more enhancing to TBR?
- How does advanced physics (that requires embedding stabilizing shells within blanket) degrade breeding?
- Could required TBR be achieved in presence of several design elements (such as plasma heating and current drive ports) that compete for best available space for breeding?
- Does blanket offer flexible approach to handle any shortage and surplus of T?
- Past studies answered some questions by addressing individual issues one at a time.
- Our state-of-the-art 3-D analysis examined all questions collectively in integral fashion to account for inter-dependence and synergistic effects.



Questions Addressed with Sophisticated 3-D Neutronics Codes

- UW Computational Nuclear Engineering Research Group (CNERG) developed most innovative computational tool in recent years.
- DAGMC code permits fully accurate modeling of complex devices by integrating CAD geometry directly with 3-D MCNP code.
- To point out terms that contribute to decrease/increase in TBR, we also developed a novel stepwise approach that allows adding various blanket components "step-by-step."
- This unique capability allows fully accurate presentation of blanket geometry with <u>high fidelity in 3-D TBR results</u>. Note that 1% less TBR means T shortage of ~1 kg/y, costing \$30-100M to purchase <u>annually</u> from external sources.



Stepwise Approach

- Build CAD model from scratch, starting with FW/divertor skeleton
- Couple CAD with MCNP using DAGMC code
- No homogenization within breeding zones
- Model each individual component using CAD, import CAD model into neutronics code, then add in multiple steps:
 - FW and other walls for blanket
 - Other design elements (shield, assembly gaps, stabilizing shells, penetrations, etc.)
- Record impact of 7 individual design elements on TBR
- Vary Li enrichment from natural to 90% to determine operating enrichment.





ARIES-ACT-SiC One module (22.5°)



1-D Infinite Cylinder (to estimate maximum achievable TBR for 100 % LiPb; 90% Li enrichment; no structure)





1-D Infinite Cylinder

(to estimate maximum achievable TBR for 100 % LiPb; 90% Li enrichment; no structure)





3-D Toroidal Model: Li_{15.7}Pb_{84.3} Confined Radially/ Vertically to Blanket. Shield and Divertor Added



9

Upper half of 1/32th module with three reflecting boundaries at both sides and at midplane

1/64th of ARIES-ACT-1 torus



3-D Toroidal Model: Li_{15.7}Pb_{84.3} Confined Radially/ Vertically to Blanket. Shield and Divertor Added



- 1-D infinite Cylinder: 100% LiPb breeder surrounded with FS shield
- 2. 3-D Toroidal Model: LiPb confined to 35 cm IB blanket and 30+45 cm OB blanket



2 cm Wide Assembly Gaps Between Modules (purple)





2 cm Wide Assembly Gaps Between Modules



- 1-D infinite Cylinder: 100% LiPb breeder surrounded with FS shield
- 2. 3-D Toroidal Model: LiPb confined to 35 cm IB blanket and 30+45 cm OB blanket
- 3. Add assembly gaps between blanket modules



Segment Blankets into Sectors and Curve FW and BW of each Sector





Segment Blankets into Sectors and Curve FW and BW of each Sector



- 1-D infinite Cylinder: 100% LiPb breeder surrounded with FS shield
- 3-D Toroidal Model: LiPb confined to 35 cm IB blanket and 30+45 cm OB blanket
- 3. Add assembly gaps between blanket modules
- 4. Curve IB and OB blanket sectors



SiC/LiPb Materials Assigned to Walls





SiC/LiPb Materials Assigned to Walls



- 1-D infinite Cylinder: 100% LiPb breeder surrounded with FS shield
- 2. 3-D Toroidal Model: LiPb confined to 35 cm IB blanket and 30+45 cm OB blanket
- 3. Add assembly gaps between blanket modules
- 4. Curve IB and OB blanket sectors
- 5. Add blanket walls



W Stabilizing Shells Added to IB & OB (purple)

4 cm thick IB Vertical Stabilizing shell. Continuous toroidally. 100% W-TiC.



4 cm thick OB Vertical Stabilizing (VS) shell. Continuous toroidally. 100% W-TiC. OB Z = 1.76 - 2.81 m.





W Stabilizing Shells Added to IB & OB



- 1-D infinite Cylinder: 100% LiPb breeder surrounded with FS shield
- 2. 3-D Toroidal Model: LiPb confined to 35 cm IB blanket and 30+45 cm OB blanket
- 3. Add assembly gaps between blanket modules
- 4. Curve IB and OB blanket sectors
- 5. Add blanket walls
- 6. Add stabilizing shell



Vary Li-6 Enrichment





Vary Li-6 Enrichment



- 1-D infinite Cylinder: 100% LiPb breeder surrounded with FS shield
- 2. 3-D Toroidal Model: LiPb confined to 35 cm IB blanket and 30+45 cm OB blanket
- 3. Add assembly gaps between blanket modules
- 4. Curve IB and OB blanket sectors
- 5. Add blanket walls
- 6. Add stabilizing shell
- 7. 58% Li-6 enrichment



Penetrations

Footprints at FW:

Plasma control, heating, and fueling:

2 m² ICRF (or 0.5 m² EC) 2 m² LH 0.008 m² fueling ducts 3 m²

Diagnostics:

Total

 $7.0 \text{ m}^2 \text{ (or } 5.5 \text{ m}^2\text{)}$

Fraction of OB surface area = $\frac{\sim 7.0 \text{ m}^2}{313 \text{ m}^2} \approx 2.24\%$

Maximum fraction could reach 4% of OB area.

We considered 4% of OB FW area (12 m²) for ARIES-ACT penetrations



Including Penetrations





Isometric View of Detailed Blanket (Upper half of 1/32th (11.25°) toroidal module)

Overall TBR = 1.05

⁶Li enrichment = $\sim 60\%$

LiPb manifolds behind upper/lower divertor could increment TBR by few percent.



Conclusions and General Observations

- 3-D analysis showed progressive reduction of theoretical TBR (~1.8) down to more realistic TBR (1.05) when real geometry of LiPb/SiC blanket is addressed.
- Main findings and results:
 - ARIES-ACT-SiC blanket complies with ARIES breeding requirements (calculated TBR of 1.05 with 60% ⁶Li enrichment (< 90%))
 - Limiting the blanket coverage radially and vertically has the largest impact on TBR (22%)
 - Shaping the blanket and adding the SiC structure have 14% reduction in TBR
 - Inclusion of stabilizing shells has $\sim 5\%$ impact on TBR
 - Adding penetrations and assembly gaps has smaller (3%) but still significant impact on TBR.
- TBR verification:
 - Achievable TBR will not be verified till after Demo operation with fully integrated blanket and T extraction and processing systems.
- Because many uncertainties in operating system govern achievable TBR during plant operation, it is a <u>must requirement</u> for any blanket design to have flexible approach.
- Most attractive scheme for LiPb breeder is to operate with ⁶Li enrichment < 90% and increase or decrease ⁶Li enrichment online shortly after plant operation^{*}.
- This scheme helps mitigate concerns about danger of placing plant at risk due to T shortage as well as problem of handling and safeguarding any surplus of T.

L. El-Guebaly and S. Malang, "Toward the Ultimate Goal of Tritium Self-Sufficiency: Technical Issues and Requirements Imposed on ARIES Advanced Fusion Power Plants," Fusion Engineering and Design 84 (Dec 2009) 2072-2083.